

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,419	12/22/2004	Valerij Tatarsky	101215-170	7554
	7590 10/19/200 AUGHLIN & MARC		EXAMINER	
875 THIRD AVE			AULAKH, CHARANJIT	
18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
. ,			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/519,419	TATARSKY, VALERIJ				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charanjit S. Aulakh	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Second       This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)       This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)       This action is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 17 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the option of the option	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
,—						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/24/06	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/519,419

Art Unit: 1625

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. According to paper filed on Sep. 18, 2007, the applicants have elected group II without traverse for further prosecution.

2. Claims 11-18 are pending in the application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The following eight different factors (see Ex parte Foreman, 230 USPQ at 547; Wands, In re, 858.F. 2d 731, 8 USPQ 2d 1400, Fed. Cir. 1988) must be considered in order for the specification to be enabling for what is being claimed:

Quantity of experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance provided, presence or absence of working examples, the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in the art, the predictability or unpredictability and the breadth of claims. In the instant case, the specification is not enabling based on atleast four of the above mentioned eight different factors such as quantity of experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance provided, presence of working examples, state of the prior art, unpredictability and the breadth of claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,419

Art Unit: 1625

The instant composition claims are directed to using the instant composition for any type of tumor. However, the specification on pages 16-25 teaches antitumor effects of these complexes on adenocarcinoma, leukemia, melanoma and sarcoma only. There is no teaching in the specification or prior art regarding specific mechanism of action of such compounds. There is no teaching in the specification or prior art that compounds having efficacy for treating adenocarcinoma, leukemia, melanoma and sarcoma tumors are well known to have therapeutic utility for treating every type of known tumor in the art. There are numerous types of tumors and therefore, in absence of such teachings, it would require undue experimentation to demonstrate the efficacy of instant complex in every known tumor in the art and hence their pharmaceutical utility for using such compositions.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 11-16, the term --- An antitumor composition ---- is vague and indefinite since specific tumors are not defined. The applicants are suggested to change the term to ---A pharmaceutical ----.

7. Claims 11-18 are objected for containing non-elected subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,419

Art Unit: 1625

## Allowable Subject Matter

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 17 and 18 are allowable over the prior art since the instant metal complexes are neither disclosed nor obvious over the prior art. In the prior art, Laurie (Aust. J. Chem.) discloses Cobalt complexes of phenylalanine (see table 1 on page 681) which are closely related to instant complexes. However, the complexes of Laurie differ from the instant complexes in having unsubstituted phenylalanine instead of 4-[bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]-phenylalanine and furthermore, there is no teaching or suggestion in the prior art to modify the complexes of Laurie to prepare the instant metal complexes.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on (571)272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,419

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charanjit S. Aulakh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625 Page 5